

**Health Professions Council  
Audit Committee Meeting – 28<sup>th</sup> February 2007**

**UPDATED RISK REGISTER – PUBLIC PAPER**

**Executive Summary and Recommendations**

**1. Introduction**

One of the terms of reference of the Audit Committee is to “consider whether risk management processes are adequate for all risks to which the Council is exposed and to approve or, where the Committee considers that significant policy issues are involved, to recommend that the Council approve measures to eliminate or mitigate against them.”

The Risk Register is the HPC document outlining risks that the Executive believe the Council is exposed to at the time the Register is updated. The Risk Register is reviewed by all Risk Owners and updated twice yearly. Readers of the Risk Register (not an exhaustive list) would include; the financial auditors, quality auditors, budget-holders, EMT, the Chief Executive and the President.

**2. Decision**

The Committee is requested to note the document. No decision is required, but the Committee is asked to make a recommendation to Council, based on its terms of reference.

**3. Background information**

The Risk Register was updated and the list of top HPC risks was reviewed by EMT at their meeting of 6<sup>th</sup> February 2007. An action point following the review of a previous Risk Register was for the Executive to incorporate feedback from the auditors into the Risk Register, before presenting the updated version to the Committee.

Feedback from Baker Tilly at the time of writing as follows. The Top HPC Risks were re-ordered as suggested.

“My initial thoughts are that the format is certainly an improvement on the last version I saw. The number of risks identified (11) is a more manageable number, and the nature of the risk is easy to identify. Just a few questions/observations:

Would it be useful putting the 11 risks in order of significance/impact, so that you effectively prioritise them?  
Presumably the significance and probability columns are the gross risk assessment before taking into account mitigations in columns 1, 2 and 3.

Nothing else to add for now. I assume there are procedures in place to review the register on an ongoing basis to assess the risk exposure and mitigation.”

**4. Resource implications**

Various – refer Mitigations in the Register.

## 5. Financial implications

**Some** of the costs to mitigate risks identified on the Risk Register are as follows. There are additional costs embedded in departmental budgets, for example the costs of training, permanent employee time, the costs to hire temp staff and the costs of obtaining legal advice on what actions to take to ensure compliance with legislation. These other costs aren't listed, as may be incurred to achieve other objectives besides risk management. Note that there is no *direct* correlation between the cost to mitigate a risk at HPC and the significance and probability of that risk.

Budget 2007/08 – Version Four figures (final version to be approved in late March)	£000's
<b>Opex Budget</b>	
Insurance premiums (other than Legal insurance)	42
Legal insurance (for FTP case costs incurred between £125-250k)	51
Security guards (reception desk and hearings)	26
IT anti virus/anti porn/anti spam managed-web and internet services	27
IT system penetration-testing (hacker-testing) and Escrow vault*	29
Business-continuity data backups (STAR, nightly)	61
Counter-fraud Risk Management/Registration Application testing	84
Internal Audit	27
External Audit (BT & NAO)	48
Pensions advice	27
Health & Safety compliance	22
Equality & Diversity Project (first phase only)	43
<b>Opex Subtotal</b>	<b>487</b>
<b>Capex Budget</b>	
LISA Access Rights project	35
CCTV perimeter surveillance	9
<b>Capex Subtotal</b>	<b>44</b>

\* Back up of the LISA source code, in case DSL (LISA support vendor) goes bankrupt.

## 6. Background papers

Nil

## 7. Appendices

- Risk Register (Top HPC Risks and Full List)
- Risk Register Glossary

## 8. Date of paper

16<sup>th</sup> February 2007

**Top HPC Risks**

**RISK ASSESSMENT February 2007**

	<b>Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)</b>	<b>Mitigation I</b>	<b>Mitigation II</b>	<b>Mitigation III</b>	<b>SIGNIFICANCE FEB 2007</b>	<b>PROBABILITY FEB 2007</b>	<b>COMMENTS</b>
<b>Description</b>							
13.3 Tribunal exceptional costs, FTP, Registrations and CPD Appeals	FTP Director	Quality of legal advice	Quality of operational processes	Legal Insurance cover for lawyer costs (rather than tribunals) costing between £125k and £250k	High	High	
4.10 Member recruitment problem (with the requisite skills)	President	Preparation of a detailed role description	Use of the Office of Public Appointments Commission to advertise and recruit new members	Use of the Office of Public Appointments for advice (on recruitment of the requisite skills)	High	Medium	
8.2 Fee change processes not operational by June 2007	Director of Operations and Director of Finance	Project progress monitored by EMT	Apply HPC's project management methodology	Maintain regular informal contact with Privy Council staff throughout all stages of the project	High	Medium	Tight timetable. Surplus Budget approval yet to happen and Fee Consultation results unknown.
13.6 Employee/Partner physical assault by Hearing attendees	FTP Director	Advice sought from the Police	Adequate building security	Periodic use of security contractors and other steps	High	Medium	Several more contentious cases expected in 2007
7.3 Inability to manage Education Provider (EP) visits	Dir of Operations	Adequate manpower resourcing, training and visit scheduling	Approvals & Monitoring processes	Temporary staff hire to backfill or clear wk backlogs	Medium	Medium	At least two experienced staff down at present.
12.1 Judicial review of Rules, Standards & Guidance	Chief Executive	Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council.	Appropriate legal advice sought	-	Medium	Medium	
13.1 Legal cost over-runs	FTP Director	Processes and strict arrangements with law firm suppliers	Professional Indemnity Insurance	-	Medium	Medium	
14.3 Changing/evolving legal advice rendering previous work inappropriate	Policy & Stds Director	Use of well-qualified legal professionals. Regular reviews.	Legal advice obtained in writing.	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team and others eg HR.	Medium	Medium	
14.4 Inadequate preparation for a change in legislation (Health Professions Order, or other legislation affecting HPC)	Policy & Stds Director	Policy team and others remaining up to date re: forthcoming developments, via contacts, consultations, etc.	Project planning process and teams	Legal advice sought	Medium	Medium	
15.3 Large Capital Project Cost Over-runs	EMT	Finance & Resources Committee review of the monthly variances to date	Effective project specification, management and project progress reporting (financial and non financial)	Detailed cost estimations eg Quantity Surveyor estimates for the 22/26 SS project	Medium	Medium	
16.2 Flexiplan funding liability resulting from new Scheme Specific Funding Standard (SSFS) and insufficient Pensions Capital to meet fund obligations	Finance Director	Use of specialised Pension Actuarial expertise for advice	Use of specialist pensions legal expertise for advice	-	Medium	Medium	

HEALTH PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT February 2007

Guide - look for Risks rated as Medium or High PROBABILITY (of occurrence in next 12 mths). Then for those ones, look for SIGNIFICANCE (Impact) ratings of Medium or High. SIGNIFICANCE is Net i.e.Gross Risk less mitigations in place. "Premises" in this document covers 184 Kennington Park Rd, 20 Stannary St and 22-26 Stannary St premises.

Ref	Category	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	SIGNIFICANCE FEB 2007	PROBABILITY FEB 2007	SIGNIFICANCE SEPT 2006
1	Strategic	1.1 HPC fails to deliver OIC	Council	Delivery of HPC Strategy	Publication of Privy Council Annual Report	-	High	Low	High
		1.2 Unexpected change in UK legislation	Chief Executive	Relationship with Government depts	Lobbying	-	Medium	Low	Medium
		1.3 Incompatible OIC and EU legislation	Chief Executive	Monitoring of EU	EU lobbying	-	Low	Medium	Low
		1.4 CHRE conflict	Chief Executive	President on CHRE Council	Communications	-	Low	Low	Low
2	Operations	2.1 Inability to occupy premises or use interior equipment	Facilities Mger & Director of IT	Invoke Disaster recovery plan	Commercial Combined insurance cover (fire, contents, terrorism etc)	-	Low	Low	Low
		2.2 Rapid increase in registrant numbers	Chief Executive and Director of Operations	Scaleable IT systems/registration	22-26 Stannary St fit out.	Manage the rate at which New Professions are regulated	Low	Low	Low
		2.3 Unacceptable service standards	Director of Operations	ISO 9001 Registration, Process maps, well documented procedures & BSI audits	Hire temporary staff to clear service backlogs	Market Research surveys to prioritise service offerings	Low	Low	Low
		2.4 Postal or telephone disruption	Director of Comms & Facilities Mger	Website, newsletter & messages	Invoke Disaster recovery plan	Collection of >80% income fees by DD	Low	Low	Low
		2.5 Public transport disruption	Facilities Mger & Director of IT	Invoke Disaster recovery plan	-	-	Low	Low	Low
		2.6 Inability to accommodate HPC employees	Facilities Mger	Temporary premises rented	22-26 Stannary St fit out.	Ongoing Space planning	Medium	Low	Medium
3	Communications	3.1 Failure to inform public Article 3 (13)	Director of Comms	Delivery of communications strategy	AGM, Biennial awareness survey	-	Low	Low	Low
		3.2 Loss of support from the professional bodies	Director of Comms	Delivery of HPC Strategy	Delivery of communications strategy	Regular Listening Events held	Low	Low	Low
		3.3 Inability to inform stakeholders following crisis	Director of Comms	Invoke Disaster recovery plan	Mailing address details kept as current as possible in LISA	-	Low	Low	Low
4	Corporate Governance	4.1 Council inability to make decisions	Secretary to Council	Regular meetings, agendas and decision processes in place	Well researched and drafted decision papers at meetings	Attendance by external professionals as required	Low	Low	Low
		4.2 Council members conflict of interest	President	Disclosure of members' interests to the Secretariat	Disclosure of conflict of interest in the Annual Report & on the HPC website	-	Low	Low	Low
		4.3 Poor decision-making eg conflicting advice or conflicting advice and decisions	President	Well-researched & drafted decision papers, Council member Inductions, training & Away Days	President's involvement in the appointments process for lay members	Attendance by external professionals, as required.	Low	Low	Low
		4.4 Failure to meet Council/Committee quorums	Secretary to Council	Clear communication of expectations of Councillors duties upfront	Adequate processes notifying Council & Committee members of forthcoming meetings	Decisions can be ratified at the next meeting or if urgent but not controversial, by email.	Low	Low	Low
		4.5 Members' poor performance	President	President's annual appraisal of Council members	Training & support at Away Days and Inductions	Removal under Sch 1, Para 9(1)(f) of the HPO 2001	Low	Low	Low
		4.6 Poor performance by the President	Council	Standing Orders	Power to remove the President under Sch 1, Article 12(1) C of the HPO 2001	-	Low	Low	Low
		4.7 Poor performance by Chief Executive	President	Performance reviews and regular "one to ones" with the President	Contract of Employment	-	Low	Low	Low
		4.8 Improper financial incentives offered to Council members/employees	President and Chief Executive	Gifts policy	Council member code of conduct	-	Low	Low	Low
		4.9 Health & Safety of Council members	Secretary to Council	Personal Injury and Travel insurance	Restricted access to the building site (22/26 Stannary St)	Road safety policy (for vehicle drivers) with training to follow	Low	Low	Low

HEALTH PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT February 2007

Guide - look for Risks rated as Medium or High PROBABILITY (of occurrence in next 12 mths). Then for those ones, look for SIGNIFICANCE (Impact) ratings of Medium or High. SIGNIFICANCE is Net i.e.Gross Risk less mitigations in place.  
 "Premises" in this document covers 184 Kennington Park Rd, 20 Stannary St and 22-26 Stannary St premises.

Ref	Category	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	SIGNIFICANCE FEB 2007	PROBABILITY FEB 2007	SIGNIFICANCE SEPT 2006
		4.10 Member recruitment problem (with the requisite skills)	President	Preparation of a detailed role description	Use of the Office of Public Appointments Commission to advertise and recruit new members	Use of the Office of Public Appointments for advice (on recruitment of the requisite skills)	High	Medium	-
		4.11 Expense claim abuse by members	Secretary to Council	Members Code of Conduct (public office)	Clear and comprehensive policies posted on the Council member Extranet and made clear during induction	Budget holder review and authorisation procedures	High	Low	-

HEALTH PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT February 2007

Guide - look for Risks rated as Medium or High PROBABILITY (of occurrence in next 12 mths). Then for those ones, look for SIGNIFICANCE (Impact) ratings of Medium or High. SIGNIFICANCE is Net i.e. Gross Risk less mitigations in place. "Premises" in this document covers 184 Kennington Park Rd, 20 Stannary St and 22-26 Stannary St premises.

Ref	Category	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	SIGNIFICANCE FEB 2007	PROBABILITY FEB 2007	SIGNIFICANCE SEPT 2006
5	IT	5.1 Software Virus damage	Director of IT	Firewalls and anti-virus SW checks run	Adherence to IT policy, procedures and training	Regular externally run security tests and probes	Low	Low	Low
		5.2 Technology obsolescence, (HW or SW)	Director of IT	Open system IT strategy	Good design to "future-proof" user requirements	LISA Upgrade and replacement plan	Medium	Low	Medium
		5.3 IT fraud or error	Director of IT	Adequate access control procedures maintained. System audit trails.	Regular, automatic password changes. External reviews. Daily backups.	Computer insurance (against hacking)	Medium	Low	Medium
6	Partners	6.1 Inability to recruit and/or retain suitable Partners	Partner Manager	Sound recruitment strategy. Training	HR Strategy: Appropriate compensation package in place.	-	Low	Low	Low
		6.2 Incorrect interpretation of law and/or SI's resulting in CHRE review	Director of FTP & Director of Operations (Visitors)	Training	Legal Assessors advice availability	-	Low	Low	Low
		6.3 Health & Safety of Partners	Partner Manager	Personal Injury and Travel insurance. Liability Insurance	Road Safety policy (for vehicle drivers) with training to follow	Restricted access to the building site (22/26 Stannary St)	Low	Low	Low
7	Approvals & Monitoring & CPD	7.1 Non-detection of low education providers standards	Director of Operations Education Manager	Approvals & Monitoring processes	-	-	Medium	Low	Medium
		7.2 Education providers refusing visits or not submitting data	Director of Operations Education Manager	Legal powers (HPO 2001)	-	-	Medium	Low	Medium
		7.3 Inability to manage Education Provider (EP) visits	Director of Operations Education Manager	Adequate manpower resourcing, training and visit scheduling	Approvals & Monitoring processes	Temporary staff hire to backfill or clear wk backlogs	Medium	Medium	Medium
		7.4 Loss of support from EP	Chief Executive	Delivery of Education strategy	Partnerships with Visitors and professional groups.	-	Low	Low	Low
		7.5 CPD processes not operational by July 2008	Director of Operations	Annual Business Plan	-	-	Low	Low	Low
8	Project Management	8.1 CPD processes not operational by July 2008	Director of Operations Project Manager Registration Manager	Annual Business Plan	Project progress monitored by EMT	Apply HPC's project management methodology	Medium	Low	Low
		8.2 Fee change processes not operational by June 2007	Director of Operations and Director of Finance	Project progress monitored by EMT	Apply HPC's project management methodology	Maintain regular informal contact with Privy Council staff throughout all stages of the project	High	Medium	High
		8.3 Professional Qualification Directives processes not operational by October 2007	Director of Operations Project Manager Registration Manager	Project progress monitored by EMT	Apply HPC's project management methodology	Maintain regular informal contact with Privy Council staff throughout all stages of the project	Medium	Low	-
		8.2 Failure to regulate a new profession or a post-registration qualification as stipulated by legislation	Director of Operations Project Manager Registration Manager Director of Policy & Standards	Project progress monitored by EMT	Apply HPC's project management methodology	Maintain regular informal contact with Privy Council staff throughout all stages of the project	Medium	Low	-
9	Quality Management	9.1 Loss of ISO 9000 Certification	Director of Operations Quality Manager	Regular & internal audits	QMS standards applied across HPC	Management buy - in	Low	Low	-

HEALTH PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT February 2007

Guide - look for Risks rated as Medium or High PROBABILITY (of occurrence in next 12 mths). Then for those ones, look for SIGNIFICANCE (Impact) ratings of Medium or High. SIGNIFICANCE is Net i.e. Gross Risk less mitigations in place.  
 "Premises" in this document covers 184 Kennington Park Rd, 20 Stannary St and 22-26 Stannary St premises.

Ref	Category	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	SIGNIFICANCE FEB 2007	PROBABILITY FEB 2007	SIGNIFICANCE SEPT 2006
10	Registration	10.1 Customer service failures	Director of Operations	Accurate Manning level forecasts	Adequate manpower resourcing & training	Supporting automation infrastructure eg call centre systems, LISA system enhancements, registration re-structure	Low	Low	Low
		10.2 LISA Registration system failure	Director of Operations and Director of IT	IT strategy	Adequate IT Maintenance & Development spending	Disaster recovery tests	Low	Low	Low
		10.3 Inability to detect fraudulent applications	Director of Operations	Financial audits, System audit trails	Policy and procedures supported by interal quality audits & specialised external Risk Management guidance	Regular, automatic password changes	Medium	Low	Low
		10.4 Backlogs of registration and GP applns	Director of Operations	Adequate staffing levels to clear backlogs, based on accurate demand-forecasting	Process streamlining	-	Low	Low	Low
		10.5 Failure to meet the Registration Dept merger project timetable	Director of Operations	Detailed Project Plan/Training Plan and regular progress reviews (EMT)	Close teamwork with IT and Space planning teams (allied projects)	HR advice around re-organisation	High	Low	High

HEALTH PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT February 2007

Guide - look for Risks rated as Medium or High PROBABILITY (of occurrence in next 12 mths). Then for those ones, look for SIGNIFICANCE (Impact) ratings of Medium or High. SIGNIFICANCE is Net i.e.Gross Risk less mitigations in place.  
 "Premises" in this document covers 184 Kennington Park Rd, 20 Stannary St and 22-26 Stannary St premises.

Ref	Category	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	SIGNIFICANCE FEB 2007	PROBABILITY FEB 2007	SIGNIFICANCE SEPT 2006
11	HR	11.1 Loss of key HPC employees (person cover risk)	President, Chief Executive and EMT	Committee chairmen cover for President loss, President and EMT cover for CE loss until interim appointment made	Cross training (partial or full) and process documentation	CE Succession plan held by HR Director. Succession planning generally.	Medium	Low	Medium
		11.2 High turnover of employees	HR Director	Remuneration and HR strategy	Regular performance reviews	Exit interview analysis	Low	Low	Low
		11.3 Inability to recruit suitable employees	HR Director	HR Strategy and adequate resourcing of the HR dept	Careful specification of recruitment adverts and interview panel selection	Hire skilled temporary staff in the interim	Low	Medium	Low
		11.4 Lack of technical and managerial skills to delivery the strategy	Chief Executive	HR strategy and goals and objectives (buy in the skills v staff upskilling on the job v training)	Training needs analysis & training delivery.	Some projects or work initiatives delayed or outsourced	Low	Medium	Low
		11.5 Health & Safety of employees	HR Director & Facilities Mger	Health & Safety Training, policies and procedures	H&S Assessments (Lawrence, Webster Forrest). Restricted access to the building site (22/26 Stannary St).	Personal Injury & Travel insurance	Low	Low	Low
		11.6 High sick leave levels	EMT	Adequate staff (volume and type) including hiring temporary staff	Return to work interviews and sick leave monitoring	Regular progress reviews	Low	Medium	Low
		11.7 Employee and ex-employee litigation	HR Director	Regular one on one sessions between manager and employee and regular performance rviews.	HR legislation and HR disciplinary policies	Compromise agreements	Low	High	Low
		11.8 Employer/employee inappropriate behaviour	HR Director	Whistle blowing policy	Other HR policy and procedures	Employee Assistance programme	Low	Low	Low
		11.9 Non Compliance with Employment legislation	HR Director	HR Strategy	Obtain legislation updates and legal advice	HR policies and Manager training	Low	Low	Low
12	Legal	12.1 Judicial review of Rules, Standards & Guidance	Chief Executive	Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council.	Appropriate legal advice sought	-	Medium	Medium	Medium
13	Fitness to Practise	13.1 Legal cost over-runs	FTP Director	Processes and strict arrangements with law firm suppliers	Professional Indemnity Insurance	Good process management for arranging hearings	Medium	Medium	Medium
		13.2 Legal challenge to HPC operations	Chief Executive	Legal advice and ISO	Communications	-	Low	Low	Low
		13.3 Tribunal exceptional costs, FTP, Registrations and CPD Appeals	FTP Director	Quality of legal advice	Quality of operational processes	Legal Insurance cover for lawyer costs (rather than tribunals) costing between £125k and £250k	High	High	High
		13.4 Rapid increase in the number of tribunals and resultant legal costs	FTP Director	Accurate and realistic budgeting	Resource planning	-	Low	Medium	Low
		13.5 Witness non-attendance	FTP Director	Witness summons	Witness support programme	-	Low	Medium	Low
		13.6 Employee/Partner physical assault by Hearing attendees	FTP Director	Advice sought from the Police	Adequate building security	Periodic use of security contractors and other steps	High	Medium	Low
		13.7 Registration Appeals	FTP Director & Director of Operations	Training and selection of Registration Assessors, so reasoned decisions are generated	Effective processes and criteria for arranging hearings and cases	-	Low	High	Low



HEALTH PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT February 2007

Guide - look for Risks rated as Medium or High PROBABILITY (of occurrence in next 12 mths). Then for those ones, look for SIGNIFICANCE (Impact) ratings of Medium or High. SIGNIFICANCE is Net i.e. Gross Risk less mitigations in place. "Premises" in this document covers 184 Kennington Park Rd, 20 Stannary St and 22-26 Stannary St premises.

Ref	Category	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	SIGNIFICANCE FEB 2007	PROBABILITY FEB 2007	SIGNIFICANCE SEPT 2006
14	Policy & Standards	14.1 Incorrect process followed to establish stds/guidance/policy eg no relevant Council decision	Policy & Stds Director	Legal advice sought on processes	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team.	Quality mgt system & processes	Low	Low	Low
		14.2 Inappropriate stds/guidance published eg stds are set at inappropriate level, are too confusing or are conflicting	Council/Committees	Use of professional liaison groups, and Council and committees including members with appropriate expertise	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team.	Consultation with stakeholders & legal advice sought	Low	Low	Low
		14.3 Changing/evolving legal advice rendering previous work inappropriate	Policy & Stds Director	Use of well-qualified legal professionals. Regular reviews.	Legal advice obtained in writing.	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team and others eg HR.	Medium	Medium	Medium
		14.4 Inadequate preparation for a change in legislation (Health Professions Order, or other legislation affecting HPC)	Policy & Stds Director	Policy team and others remaining up to date re: forthcoming developments, via contacts, consultations, etc.	Project planning process and teams	Legal advice sought	Medium	Medium	
15	Finance	15.1 Insufficient Cash to meet commitments	Finance Director	Maintain an appropriate level of cash reserves using weekly Cashflow planning	Annual and Five Year Plan forecasting of income (volumes & fees) and costs to ensure adherence to Reserves Policy. Fee rises as required.	Monthly forecasts/reviews	Low	Medium	Low
		15.2 Unexpected rise in operating expenses	EMT	Finance & Resources Committee review of the Monthly variances to date	Budgetary control clarity around permanent and timing differences.	Regular Budget-holder reviews	Medium	Low	Medium
		15.3 Large Capital Project Cost Over-runs	EMT	Finance & Resources Committee review of the monthly variances to date	Effective project specification, management and project progress reporting (financial and non financial)	Detailed cost estimations eg Quantity Surveyor estimates for the 22/26 SS project	Medium	Medium	Medium
		15.4 Loss in value of investment portfolio	Finance Director	Adherence to Investments and Reserves policies. Long run view.	Monthly monitoring and periodic fund performance benchmarking.	Professional fund management incorporating diversification and relatively low risk holdings	Low	Low	Low
		15.5 Inability to pay creditors	Finance Director	Adequate payment procedures	Adequate cash-flow forecasting	Monthly Aged Creditors review	Low	Low	Low
		15.6 Inability to collect from debtors	Finance Director	Collection via Direct Debit for >80% of fees income	Registrant Debtors policy in place.	Request new DD details from Registrants when informed by the bank that the Registrant's DD was rejected. Periodic reviews of Misc Debtors.	Low	Low	Low
		15.7 Registrant Credit Card record fraud	Facilities Mgr	Daily credit card payment reconciliations - Streamline to LISA and Bank records.	Project in progress to retrieve sensitive paper records in archive, rationalise records kept and retain sensitive current year records in locked cupboards and compliance with cr card record storage stds.	Replacement of Streamline system with Worldpay (online cr card auth and payments received)	Low	Low	Low
		15.8 Total receipt of correct fee income	Finance Director	LISA controls in place (charging & receipts)	Revenue reconciliations LISA to SAGE	-	Low	Low	Low
		15.9 Mismatch between Council goals & approved financial budgets	Chief Executive	Adequate quantification of the budgetary implications of proposed new initiatives	Close and regular communication between the Executive, Council and its Committees.	Spending prioritisation criteria	Low	Low	Low
		15.10 Unauthorised payments to organisations	Finance Director	Purchase Order compliance	Signatory list reviews	Approved and one-off supplier processes	Low	Low	Low
		15.11 Unauthorised payments to personnel	Finance Director	Expense claim processes	Signatory list reviews	Professional Indemnity & fraud insurance	Low	Low	Low
		15.12 Unauthorised removal of assets (custody issue)	Director of IT & Facilities Mgr	IT asset labelling & asset logging (issuance to employees)	Fixed Asset register itemising assets. Job exit procedures (to recover HPC laptops etc)	Computer insurance	Low	Low	Low

HEALTH PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT February 2007

Guide - look for Risks rated as Medium or High PROBABILITY (of occurrence in next 12 mths). Then for those ones, look for SIGNIFICANCE (Impact) ratings of Medium or High. SIGNIFICANCE is Net i.e.Gross Risk less mitigations in place.  
 "Premises" in this document covers 184 Kennington Park Rd, 20 Stannary St and 22-26 Stannary St premises.

Ref	Category	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	SIGNIFICANCE FEB 2007	PROBABILITY FEB 2007	SIGNIFICANCE SEPT 2006
		15.13 Mis-signing of cheques (forgery)	Finance Director	Regular reviews of cheque signatories against invoices paid by cheque.	Monthly bank reconciliations	Minimal use of manual chqs	Low	Low	Low
		15.14 Tax law non compliance	Finance Director	Professional tax advice sought	HMRC website periodic reviews. Employee training (CPD hours)	Tax provisions made	Low	Low	Low
		15.15 Non compliance with Privy Council/Treasury Guidelines/UK GAAP/IFRS	Finance Director	Periodic reviews of website updates. Technical updates from CA firms.	Employee training (CPD hours)	Reference books held in Finance Dept	Low	Low	Low

**HEALTH PROFESSIONS COUNCIL**

**RISK ASSESSMENT February 2007**

Guide - look for Risks rated as Medium or High PROBABILITY (of occurrence in next 12 mths). Then for those ones, look for SIGNIFICANCE (Impact) ratings of Medium or High. SIGNIFICANCE is Net i.e.Gross Risk less mitigations in place.  
 "Premises" in this document covers 184 Kennington Park Rd, 20 Stannary St and 22-26 Stannary St premises.

Ref	Category	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	SIGNIFICANCE FEB 2007	PROBABILITY FEB 2007	SIGNIFICANCE SEPT 2006
		15.16 Qualified opinion received by the Auditors on the Annual Financial Statements	Finance Director	Internal control compliance	Treasury/HMRC/UK GAAP/IFRS compliance	-	Low	Low	Low
		15.17 Late submission of the Financial Statements/Annual Report, beyond sector standards	Finance Director and Comms Director	Upfront agreement on the Year End and Annual Report reporting process dates	Process management	-	Low	Low	Low
		15.18 Fund Manager or Money Market provider insolvency	Finance Director	Periodic reviews of financial strength (NatWest audited annual accounts)	-	-	Low	Low	Low
16	Pensions	16.1 Under-funded pension liabilities (CPSM Retirement Benefits Scheme*)	Finance Director	Benefits secured by insurance policies issued by the Scottish Life Assurrance (SLA)	Periodic review of the actuarial valuation of assets of the fund to cover pension liabilities.	-	Low	Medium	Low
		16.2 Flexiplan funding liability resulting from new Scheme Specific Funding Standard (SSFS) and insufficient Pensions Capital to meet fund obligations	Finance Director	Use of specialised Pension Actuarial expertise for advice	Use of specialist pensions legal expertise for advice	-	Medium	Medium	-
		16.3 Significant costs incurred to adopt changes to existing HPC (Capita Flexiplan) pension scheme	Finance Director	Use of specialised Pension Actuarial expertise for advice	Use of specialist pensions legal expertise for advice	-	Low	Medium	-

\* The Fund wind up is being managed by Capital Hartshead (formely FPS). Since 1995, eligible employees have belonged to a new scheme - Flexiplan 1.

PROBABILITY SEPT 2006
Low Low Medium Low
Low  Low  Low  Low  Low
Low Low Low
Low Low Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low

PROBABILITY SEPT 2006
-
-

PROBABILITY SEPT 2006
Low
Low
Low
Low
Low
Low
Low
Medium
Low
Low
Low
Low
Medium
-
-
-

PROBABILITY SEPT 2006
Low
Low
Low
Low
Low

PROBABILITY SEPT 2006
Low
Low
Medium
Medium
Low
Medium
Medium
Low
Low
Medium
Medium
Low
High
Medium
Medium
Medium
High



**PROBABILITY  
SEPT 2006**

Low  
  
Low  
  
Medium

Low  
  
Low  
  
Low  
  
Low  
  
Low  
  
Low  
  
Low  
  
Low  
  
Low  
  
Low  
  
Low

PROBABILITY SEPT 2006
Low
Low
Low

PROBABILITY SEPT 2006
Low
Low
Low
Low
-
-

## HPC Risk Register Glossary

The risk assessment replaces the last HPC Risk Assessment completed. This was dated Sept 2006.

### Category

Risks have been clustered together to aid analysis.

### Description

A discrete risk.

### Risk owner

The risk owner is the Council, Committees, President, Chief Executive, Executive Management Team, Director or Manager who is primarily responsible for assessing and managing the risk.

### Mitigation

Mitigation is the solution that HPC uses to reduce the risk. Up to three groupings of mitigations have been identified.

### Significant

Risks are classified into three categories:

High – occurrence would impact HPC’s operations and budget substantially= Red

Medium – occurrence would impact HPC’s operations and budget significantly= Amber

Low – occurrence would impact HPC operations and budget moderately= Green

The risk rating is a net risk rating i.e. an assessment of the gross risk less the mitigations in place to manage it.

### Probability

High – probability is assessed as the likelihood of the risk occurring within three months = Red

Medium –likely within one year = Amber

Low – likely after more than one year = Green

	Significance Level	Probability/timing
High	Substantial	Within three months
Medium	Significant	Within one year
Low	Moderate	Over one year